





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/745,896	12/21/2000		Yoshitake Ishii	99292	2637
22476	7590	01/15/2004		EXAMINER	
HAUGEN			MANOHARAN, VIRGINIA		
SUITE 1130 - TCF TOWER 121 SOUTH EIGHTH STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402				1764	
				DATE MAILED: 01/15/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•			11				
<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)	v				
	•	09/745,896	ISHII ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Virginia Manoharan	1764					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addres	: s				
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply only within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 6 from the mailing date of this commu	nication.				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	February 2003 .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.						
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	vance except for formal matter r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	rs, prosecution as to the m 11, 453 O.G. 213.	erits is				
4)🖂	Claim(s) $\underline{1-8}$ is/are pending in the application							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
•	The specification is objected to by the Examin			•				
10) 🗌 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
11)[_]			ipproved by the Examiner.					
42\□ :	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•						
		Adminer.						
-	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		10(a) (d) or (f)					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	in priority under 35 0.5.0. § 1	19(a)-(u) or (i).					
a)[All b) Some * c) None of:	sta have baan received						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen		liantian No					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen			~~				
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International Bee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		je				
14) 🗌 A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional app	plication).				
) \square The translation of the foreign language pracknowledgment is made of a claim for domes			•				
Attachmen	t(s)	•						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-15					

Application/Control Number: 09/745,896

Art Unit: 1764

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bauer, Jr. et al (5,759,358) in view of Fauconet et al (6,352,619).

Bauer, Jr. et al discloses substantially the process as claimed. That is, Bauer discloses or at least suggests the"... method for purification of acrylic acid, which comprises the step of distilling a crude acrylic acid containing furfural and acrolein as impurities wherein the concentration ratio of furfural to acrolein by weight in said crude acrylic, is adjusted so as to satisfy the following equation:

(furfural concentration by weight)/(acrolein concentration by weight)≤100" as broadly claimed in claim 1. Bauer further discloses or suggests that ". the concentration ratio of furfural to acrolein by weight in said crude acrylic acid is adjusted so as to satisfy the following equation:

2<(furfural concentration by weight)/(acrolein concentration by weight<30" as broadly claimed in claim 2. See e.g. the Bauer's Examples at columns 11-12; the abstract; at column 8, lines 44-56; and the Examples at columns 8-15; wherein there is shown <100 furfural to acrolein ratio, i.e., with 85 ppm acrolein and 240 ppm of furfural

Application/Control Number: 09/745,896

Art Unit: 1764

provided in Example 1. Note also the abstract disclosing "selectively reducing acrolein and furfural."

Bauer et al differs from the claimed invention in that claim 1, for example, recites "...charged with an aldehyde treatment chemical".

However, said limitation is deemed not to constitute a patentable distinction inasmuch as it is a known expediency in the art as taught by Fauconet et al

That is, Fauconet et al teaches that a distillation process with aldehyde treatment; wherein hydrazine compound is used in said aldehyde treatment is known in the art .

To incorporate the Fauconet's teaching, supra to the process of Bauer would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art since Bauer suggests the hydrazine compound at column 23, Example 12; and at column 20, line 14. See also the advantages taught by Fauconet et al at column 3, lines 60-66.

Claims 3-4 are deemed to be result effective—variables which ordinary are within the skilled of the art. Nonetheless they are rendered obvious e.g., Table XII in column 23, and at column 6, lines 61-67 through column 7, lines 1-3 of the Fauconet et al reference.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure;

a. Herbert et al '227 discloses the separation of (meth) acrylic acid by rectification.

Application/Control Number: 09/745,896

Art Unit: 1764

- b. Dockner et al, and Herbert et al '597 both disclose the purification of crude (meth) acrylic acid.
 - c. Shimizu et al describes a method for providing acrylic acid.
- d. Bauer, Jr. et al '892 discloses a process for pure grade acrylic acid, purification.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to V. Manoharan whose telephone number is 571-272-1450. The examiner can generally be reached on Tuesday--Friday from 7:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m..

V. Manoharan/dh January 7, 2004

1 Talp

1/7/04